User: Lissa.Lloyd User Role: District

Rating Year: 2015-2016 v CDN: 057819 v Select An Option v Field

2015-2016 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2015 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail Indicator Detail Summary Determination of Ratings Size-Dependent Indicators

Name: JEAN MASSIEU ACADEMY (057819) Publication Level 0: 7/19/2016 12:12:06 PM

Status: PASSED Publication Level 1: 10/20/2016 10:15:54 AM

Rating: A - Superior Achievement Publication Level 2: 10/20/2016 10:15:54 AM

Charter School Score: 90

Passing Score: 31 Last Updated: 10/20/2016 10:15:54 AM

Options



Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency.

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above charterfirst $3.3.12.0\,$

User: Lissa.Lloyd User Role: District

Rating Year: 2015-2016 V CDN: 057819 V Sel

∨ Select An Option



2015-2016 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2015 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail Indicator Detail Summary Determination of Ratings Size-Dependent Indicators

Status		Indicator Num	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
P †1		1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and charter school financial data submitted to TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the charter school's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	YES
P	†1	2A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	YES
		2В	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	-
P	†1	3	Was the charter school in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the charter school was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the charter school is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	YES
P	†1	4	Did the charter school make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	YES
P	† 1	5	Was the total net asset balance in the Statement of Financial Position for the charter school greater than zero? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have a 10 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 10 percent increase in 5 years.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	YES
	C D D D D D C C C D D D D D D D D D D D	6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments for the charter school sufficient to cover operating expenses? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
		7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the charter school sufficient to cover short-term debt?	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
	en pedaga pelantan pelantan penanda di dalam penanda pelantan penanda pelantan pelantan pelantan penanda penanda pelantan penanda pelantan penanda penan	8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the charter school sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the charter school's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the charter school passes this indicator.) (New charter schools that have a negative net asset balance will pass this indicator if they have a 10 percent growth in students year over year until it completes its fifth year of operations. After the fifth year of operations, the calculation changes to the 10 percent increase in 5 years.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
	A Vivin control and a series of the series o	9	Did the charter school's revenues equal or exceed expenses, excluding depreciation? If not, was the charter school's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 40 days? The calculation will use expenses, excluding depreciation. For government charter schools, pension expense will be excluded.	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
		10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	9/24/2021, 10:4

	-	Detailas the charter school's administrative cost ratio equal the threshold ratio?		
	12	Did the charter school not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the charter school will automatically pass this indicator.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	0
	13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System</u> (PEIMS) data to like information in the charter school's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenses by function?	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
	14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
	15	Did the charter school not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	7/19/2016 12:12:03 PM	10
				90 Weighted Sur
				1 Multiplier Sum
***************************************				90 Score

Options

Print

Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency.

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst $3.3.12.0\,$

User: Lissa.Lloyd User Role: District

Rating Year: 2015-2016 V CDN: 057819 V Select An Option V Help Home Exit

2015-2016 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2015 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail

Indicator Detail Summary

Determination of Ratings

Size-Dependent Indicators

A: Did The Charter School fail any of the critical indicators 1, 3, 4, 5 or 2A? If so, then the Charter School's rating is F for Substandard Achievement, regardless of points earned.

B: Determine rating by applicable range for summation of the indicator scores (INDICATORS 6-15).

Rating	Points	
Suspended	0-0	
Undetermined	0-0	
A - Superior Achievement	70-100	
B - Above Standard Achievement	50-69	
C - Meets Standard Achievement	31-49	
F - Substandard Achievement	0-30	

Options



Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency

1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above charterfirst 3.3.12.0



User: Lissa.Lloyd User Role: District

User Role: District
Rating Year: 2015-2016 × CDN: 057819 × Select An Option

Help Home Exit

2015-2016 Ratings Based on Fiscal Year 2015 Data - Charter School Status Detail

Charter School Status Detail

Indicator Detail Summary

Determination of Ratings

Size-Dependent Indicators

Indicator 11

ADA Size:	≥ 1,000	500-999	< 500	Points
	≤ 0.1401	≤ 0.1561	≤ 0.2645	10
	> 0.1401 and ≤ 0.1651	> 0.1561 and ≤ 0.1811	> 0.2645 and ≤ 0.2895	8
Threshold	> 0.1651 and ≤ 0.1901	> 0.1811 and ≤ 0.2061	> 0.2895 and ≤ 0.3145	6
Ratio	> 0.1901 and ≤ 0.2151	$> 0.2061 \text{ and } \le 0.2311$	$> 0.3145 \text{ and } \le 0.3395$	4
	> 0.2151 and ≤ 0.2401	> 0.2311 and ≤ 0.2561	> 0.3395 and ≤ 0.3645	2
_	> 0.2401	> 0.2561	> 0.3645	0

Options



Audit Home Page: Financial Compliance Division | Send comments or suggestions to financialaccountability@tea.texas.gov
The Texas Education Agency.
1701 North Congress Avenue · Austin, Texas, 78701 · (512) 463-9095
Copyright © Texas Education Agency (TEA) 2007-2017

This website is best viewed in Internet Explorer 6.0 and above, charterfirst $3.3.12.0\,$